arXiv:quant-ph/0703146v1 16 Mar 2007

Quantum Computers and Computing, 2006, v. 6, n. 1, p. 125-136.

Probabilistic Simulation of Quantum Computation

T.F. Kamalov[1] *and Yu.P. Rybakov*[2]
[1] *Physics Department, Moscow State Opened University*
[2] *Theoretical Physics Department, Peoples' Friendship University of Russia*
E-mail: [1] *ykamalov@rambler.ru, qubit@mail.ru*
[2] *soliton4@mail.ru*

Special stochastic representation of the wave function in Quantum Mechanics (QM), based on soliton realization of extended particles, is suggested with the aim to model quantum states via classical computer. Entangled solitons construction being introduced in the nonlinear spinor field model, the Einstein—Podolsky—Rosen (EPR) spin correlation is calculated and shown to coincide with the quantum mechanical one for the spin–1/2 particles in the singlet state. The concept of stochastic qubits is used for quantum computing modelling.

Keywords: stochastic simulation of qubits, entangled solitons, random Hilbert space.

PACS: 03.65.Ud

1 Geometric Quantum Mechanics and its Stochastic Representation

Recent years a very fascinating idea to put QM into geometric language attracts the attention of many physicists [1]. The starting point for such an approach is the projective interpretation of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ as the space of rays. To illustrate the main idea it is convenient to decompose the Hermitian inner product $\langle\cdot|\cdot\rangle$ in $\mathcal{H}$ into real and imaginary parts by putting for the two L_2–vectors $|\psi_1\rangle = u_1 + \imath v_1$ and $|\psi_2\rangle = u_2 + \imath v_2$:

$$\langle\psi_1|\psi_2\rangle = G\,(\psi_1, \psi_2) - \imath\Omega\,(\psi_1, \psi_2), \tag{1}$$

where G is a Riemannian inner product on $\mathcal{H}$ and Ω is a symplectic form, that is

$$G\,(\psi_1, \psi_2) = (u_1, u_2) + (v_1, v_2); \quad \Omega\,(\psi_1, \psi_2) = (v_1, u_2) - (u_1, v_2),$$

with $(\cdot, \cdot)$ denoting standard L_2 inner product. The symplectic form Ω revealed in (1) can acquire its dynamical content if one uses the special stochastic representation of QM suggested in [2, 3, 4].

As a first motivation for introducing stochastic representation of the wave function let us consider the de Broglie plane wave

$$\psi = A\,e^{-\imath kx} = A\,e^{-\imath\omega t + \imath(\mathbf{kr})} \tag{2}$$

for a free particle with the energy ω, momentum $\mathbf{k}$, and mass m, when the relativistic relation

$$k^2 = \omega^2 - \mathbf{k}^2 = m^2$$

holds (in natural units $\hbar = c = 1$).

Suppose, following L. de Broglie [5] and A. Einstein [6], that the structure of the particle is described by a regular bounded function $u(t, \mathbf{r})$, called hereafter as soliton, which is supposed to satisfy some nonlinear equation with the Klein—Gordon linear part. Let $\ell_0 = 1/m$ be the characteristic size of the soliton solution $u(t, \mathbf{r})$ moving with the velocity $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{k}/\omega$.

Now it is worth-while to underline the remarkable fact behind this research [7], namely, the possibility to represent the de Broglie wave (2) as the sum of solitons located at nodes of a cubic lattice with the spacing $a \gg \ell_0$:

$$A\, e^{-\imath kx} = \sum_{\mathbf{d}} u(t, \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{d}), \tag{3}$$

where $\mathbf{d}$ marks the positions of lattice nodes. To show the validity of (3) one can take into account the asymptotic behavior of the soliton in its tail region:

$$u(x) = \int d^4k\, e^{-\imath kx} g(k) \delta(k^2 - m^2)$$

and then use the well-known formula

$$\sum_{\mathbf{d}} e^{\imath(\mathbf{k}\,\mathbf{d})} = \left(\frac{2\pi}{a}\right)^3 \delta(\mathbf{k}),$$

implying that

$$A = \left(\frac{2\pi}{a}\right)^3 \frac{g(m)}{2m}.$$

The formula (3) gives a simple illustration of the wave—particle dualism, showing that the de Broglie wave characterizes the assemblage of particles—solitons.

The shortest way to get the stochastic representation of quantum mechanics is modify the formula (3). This can be easily performed if one admits that the locations of solitons' centers are not regular nodes of the cubic lattice but some randomly chosen points. To realize this prescription, suppose that the real field ϕ describes n particles—solitons and has the form

$$\phi(t, \mathbf{r}) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \phi^{(k)}(t, \mathbf{r}), \tag{4}$$

where

$$\operatorname{supp} \phi^{(k)} \,\cap\, \operatorname{supp} \phi^{(k')} = 0, \quad k \neq k',$$

and the same for the conjugate momenta

$$\pi(t, \mathbf{r}) = \partial \mathcal{L} / \partial \phi_t = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \pi^{(k)}(t, \mathbf{r}), \quad \phi_t = \partial \phi / \partial t,$$

where $\mathcal{L}$ stands for the Lagrangian density of the field ϕ. Let us define the auxiliary functions

$$\varphi^{(k)}(t, \mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (\nu_k \phi^{(k)} + \imath \pi^{(k)} / \nu_k) \tag{5}$$

with the constants ν_k satisfying the normalization condition

$$\hbar = \int d^3x \, |\varphi^{(k)}|^2. \tag{6}$$

Now we define the analog of the wave function in the configurational space $\mathbb{R}^{3n} \ni \mathbf{x} = \{\mathbf{r}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_n\}$ as

$$\Psi_N(t, \mathbf{r}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{r}_n) = (\hbar^n N)^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \varphi_j^{(k)}(t, \mathbf{r}_k), \tag{7}$$

where $N \gg 1$ stands for the number of trials (observations) and $\varphi_j^{(k)}$ is the one-particle field function for the j–th trial.

Now we intend to show that the quantity

$$\rho_N = \frac{1}{(\triangle\vee)^n} \int\limits_{(\triangle\vee)^n \subset \mathbb{R}^{3n}} d^{3n}x \, \left|\Psi_N\right|^2,$$

where $\triangle\vee$ is the elementary volume which is supposed to be much greater than the proper volume of the particle ${\ell_0}^3 = \vee_0 \ll \triangle\vee$, plays the role of the coordinate probability density. To this end let us calculate the following integral:

$$(\triangle\vee)^n \rho_N \equiv \int\limits_{(\triangle\vee)^n} d^{3n}x \, \left|\Psi_N\right|^2 = (\hbar^n N)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_{ii} + \sum_{i \neq j = 1}^{N} a_{ij} \right),$$

where the denotation is used

$$a_{ij} = \tfrac{1}{2} \prod_{k=1}^{n} \int_{\triangle\vee} d^3x \, \left(\varphi_i^{*(k)} \varphi_j^{(k)} + \varphi_j^{*(k)} \varphi_i^{(k)} \right).$$

Taking into account (6) one gets

$$(\triangle\vee)^n \rho_N = (\hbar^n N)^{-1} \left(\hbar^n \triangle N + S\right), \quad S = \sum_{i \neq j} a_{ij}, \tag{8}$$

with $\triangle N$ standing for the number of trials for which the centers of particles—solitons were located in $(\triangle\vee)^n$. It is worth-while to remark that due to independence of trials and arbitrariness of initial data and, in particular, of the phases of the functions $\varphi_i^{(k)}$, one can consider the entities a_{ij} for $i \neq j$ as independent random variables with zero mean values. This fact permits to use the Chebyshev's inequality [8] to estimate the probability of the events for which $|S|$ surpasses $\hbar^n \triangle N$:

$$P\left(|S| > \hbar^n \triangle N\right) \leq \left(\hbar^n \triangle N\right)^{-2} \left\langle S^2 \right\rangle. \tag{9}$$

On the other hand, in view of trials' independence one gets

$$\left\langle S^2 \right\rangle = \sum_{i \neq j} \left\langle a_{ij}^2 \right\rangle. \tag{10}$$

Now one can take into account that the wave packets $\varphi_i^{(k)}$ are effectively overlapped if their centers belong to the proper volume domain $\vee_0$. This property permits to deduce from (6) and (10) the estimate

$$\left\langle S^2 \right\rangle \leq \alpha^n \hbar^{2n} \frac{\triangle N}{(\triangle \vee)^n} {\vee_0}^n \triangle N, \tag{11}$$

where $\alpha \sim 1$ is the "packing" factor for the nearest neighbors. Inserting (11) into (9) one finds the following estimate:

$$P\left(|S| > \hbar^n \triangle N\right) < \left(\alpha \vee_0 / \triangle \vee\right)^n \ll 1. \tag{12}$$

Applying the estimate (12) to (8) one can state that with the probability close to unity the following relation holds:

$$\left(\triangle \vee\right)^n \rho_N = \triangle N / N, \tag{13}$$

signifying that the construction (7) plays the role of the probability amplitude for the coordinate distribution of solitons' centers, with ρ_N in (13) being the corresponding probability density. Now let us consider the measuring procedure for some observable A corresponding, due to E. Noether's theorem, to the symmetry group generator $\widehat{M}_A$. For example, the momentum $\mathbf{P}$ is related with the generator of space translation $\widehat{M}_P = -\imath \bigtriangledown$, the angular momentum $\mathbf{L}$ is related with the generator of space rotation $\widehat{M}_L = \mathbf{J}$ and so on. As a result one can represent the classical observable A_j for the j–th trial in the form

$$A_j = \int d^3x \, \pi_j \imath \widehat{M}_A \phi_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int d^3x \, \varphi_j^{*(k)} \widehat{M}_A^{(k)} \varphi_j^{(k)}.$$

The corresponding mean value is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}(A) \quad &\equiv \quad \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_j = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \int d^3x \, \varphi_j^{*(k)} \widehat{M}_A^{(k)} \varphi_j^{(k)} \\ &= \quad \int d^{3n}x \, \Psi_N^* \widehat{A} \Psi_N + O\left(\frac{\vee_0}{\triangle \vee}\right), \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where the Hermitian operator $\widehat{A}$ reads

$$\widehat{A} = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \hbar \widehat{M}_A^{(k)}. \tag{15}$$

Thus, up to the terms of the order $\vee_0 / \triangle \vee \ll 1$, we obtain the standard quantum mechanical rule (14) for the calculation of mean values. It is interesting to underline that the solitonian scheme in question contains also the well-known spin—statistics correlation [4]. Namely, if $\varphi_j^{(k)}$ is transformed under the rotation by irreducible representation $D^{(J)}$ of $SO(3)$, with the weight J, then the transposition of two identical extended particles is equivalent to the relative 2π–rotation of $\varphi_j^{(k)}$, that gives the multiplication factor $(-1)^{2J}$ in Ψ_N. To show this property, suppose that our particles are identical, i.e. their profiles $\varphi_j^{(k)}$ may differ in phases only. Therefore, the transposition of the particles with the centers at $\mathbf{r}_1$ and $\mathbf{r}_2$ means the π–rotation of 2–particle configuration around the median axis of the central vector line $\mathbf{r}_1 - \mathbf{r}_2$. However, due to extended character of the particles, to restore the initial configuration, one should perform additional proper π–rotations of the particles. The latter operation being equivalent to the relative 2π–rotation of particles, one concludes that it results in aforementioned multiplication of Ψ_N by $(-1)^{2J}$. Under the natural supposition that the weight J is related with the spin of particles—solitons, one infers that the many–particles wave function (7) should be symmetrical under the transposition of the two identical particles if the spin is integer, but antisymmetrical if the spin is half-integer (the Pauli principle). Thus, we conclude that in the solitonian scheme the spin—statistics correlation stems from the extended character of particles—solitons. However, the particles in quantum mechanics being considered as point-like ones, it appears inevitable to include the transpositional symmetry of the wave function as the first principle (cf. Hartree—Fock receipt for Fermions). Now it is worth-while to discuss the evidence of wave properties of particles in solitonian scheme. To verify the fact that solitons can really possess wave properties, the *gedanken* diffraction experiment with individual electrons—solitons was realized. Solitons with some velocity were dropped into a rectilinear slit, cut in the impermeable screen, and the transverse momentum was calculated which they gained while passing the slit, with the width of the latter significantly exceeded the size of the soliton. As a result, the picture of distribution of the centers of scattered solitons was restored on the registration screen, by considering their initial distribution to be uniform over the transverse coordinate. It was clarified that though the center of each soliton fell into a definite place of the registration screen (depending on the initial soliton profile and the point of crossing the plane of the slit by the soliton's center), the statistical picture in many ways was similar to the well-known diffraction distribution in optics, i.e. the Fresnel's picture at short distances from the slit and the Fraunhofer's one at large distances [9].

2 Random Hilbert space

As a result we obtain the stochastic realization (7) of the wave function Ψ_N which can be considered as an element of the random Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\text{rand}}$ with the inner product

$$(\psi_1, \psi_2) = \mathbb{M}(\psi_1^* \psi_2), \tag{16}$$

with $\mathbb{M}$ standing for the expectation value. As a rude simplification one can admit that the averaging in (16) is taken over random characteristics of particles—solitons, such as their positions, velocities, phases, and so on. It is important to underline once more that the correspondence with the standard quantum mechanics is retained only in the point–particle limit $(\triangle\vee \gg \vee_0)$ for $N \to \infty$. To show this [2] one can apply the central limit theorem stating that for $N \to \infty$ the wave function $\Psi_N(t, \mathbf{x})$ behaves as the Gaussian random field with the variance

$$\sigma^2 = \rho(t, \mathbf{x}), \qquad \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{3n}, \tag{17}$$

where $\rho(t, \mathbf{x})$ stands for the probability density (partition function) of solitons' centers in $\mathbb{R}^{3n}$. Random Hilbert spaces being widely exploited in mathematical statistics, for quantum applications they were first used by N. Wiener in [10].

To illustrate the line of Wiener's argument, we recall the general scheme of introducing various representations in quantum mechanics. Let $|\psi\rangle$ be a state vector in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and $\widehat{A}$ be a self-conjugate operator with the spectrum $\sigma(\widehat{A})$. Then the a–representation is given by the wave function

$$\psi(a) = \langle a|\psi\rangle,$$

where

$$\widehat{A}|a\rangle = a|a\rangle, \qquad a \in \sigma(\widehat{A}).$$

In particular, the famous Schrodinger coordinate q–representation is given by the wave function

$$\psi(q) = \langle q|\psi\rangle = \sum_n \langle q|n\rangle\langle n|\psi\rangle, \tag{18}$$

with $|n\rangle$ being some complete set of state vectors in $\mathcal{H}$. Wiener considered the real Brownian process $x(s,\alpha)$ in the interval $[0,1] \ni s$, where $\alpha \in [0,1]$ is the generalized index of the Brownian trajectory and the correlation reads

$$\int\limits_0^1 d\alpha\, x(s,\alpha)x(s',\alpha) = \min(s,s'). \tag{19}$$

To obtain the quantum mechanical description, Wiener defined the complex Brownian process

$$z(s|\alpha,\beta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[x(s,\alpha) + \imath\, y(s,\beta)\right]; \quad \alpha,\, \beta \in [0,1], \tag{20}$$

and using the natural mapping $\mathbb{R}^3 \to [0,1]$, for the particle in $\mathbb{R}^3$, constructed the stochastic representation of the wave function along similar lines as in (18):

$$\langle\alpha,\beta|\psi\rangle = \int\limits_{s\in[0,1]} dz(s|\alpha,\beta)\psi(s), \tag{21}$$

with the obvious unitarity property

$$\int\limits_0^1 ds\,|\psi(s)|^2 = \iint\limits_{[0,1]^2} d\alpha\, d\beta |\langle\alpha,\beta|\psi\rangle|^2$$

stemming from (19).

3 Entangled solitons and EPR correlations

In the sequel we shall consider the special case of two–particle configurations ($n = 2$), corresponding to the singlet state of two spin–1/2 particles. In quantum mechanics these states are described by the spin wave function of the form

$$\psi_{12} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(|1\uparrow\rangle \otimes |2\downarrow\rangle - |1\downarrow\rangle \otimes |2\uparrow\rangle\right) \tag{22}$$

and are known as **entangled states**. The arrows in (22) signify the projections of spin ±1/2 along some fixed direction. In the case of the electrons in the famous Stern—Gerlach experiment this direction is determined by that of an external magnetic field. If one chooses two different Stern—Gerlach devices, with the directions **a** and **b** of the magnetic fields, denoted by the unit vectors **a** and **b** respectively, one can measure the correlation of spins of the two electrons by projecting the spin of the first electron on **a** and the second one on **b**. Quantum mechanics gives for the spin correlation function the well-known expression

$$P(\mathbf{a},\, \mathbf{b}) = \psi_{12}^{+}(\sigma\mathbf{a}) \otimes (\sigma\mathbf{b})\psi_{12}, \tag{23}$$

where σ stands for the vector of Pauli matrices σ_i, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Putting (22) into (23), one easily gets

$$P(\mathbf{a},\, \mathbf{b}) = -(\mathbf{ab}). \tag{24}$$

The formula (24) characterizes the spin correlation in the Einstein—Podolsky—Rosen entangled singlet states and is known as the EPR–correlation. As was shown by J. Bell [11], the correlation (24) can be used as an efficient criterium for distinguishing the models with the local (point-like) hidden variables from those with the nonlocal ones. Namely, for the local-hidden-variables theories the EPR–correlation (24) is broken. It would be interesting to check the solitonian model shortly described in the beforehand points by applying to it the EPR–correlation criterium. To this end let us first describe the spin–1/2 particles as solitons in the nonlinear spinor model of Heisenberg—Ivanenko type considered in the works [12, 13]. The soliton in question is described by the relativistic 4–spinor field φ of stationary type

$$\varphi = \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} e^{-\imath\omega t}, \tag{25}$$

satisfying the equation

$$\left(\imath\gamma^k\partial_k - \ell_0^{-1} + \lambda(\overline{\varphi}\varphi)\right)\varphi = 0, \tag{26}$$

where u and v denote 2–spinors, k runs Minkowsky space indices 0, 1, 2, 3; ℓ_0 stands for some characteristic length (the size of the particle—soliton), λ is the self-coupling constant, $\overline{\varphi} \equiv \varphi^{+}\gamma^0$, γ^k are the Dirac matrices. The stationary solution to the equation (26) can be obtained by separating variables in spherical coordinates r, ϑ, α via the substitution

$$u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}} f(r) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad v = \frac{\imath}{\sqrt{4\pi}} g(r)\sigma_r \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{27}$$

where $\sigma_r = (\sigma\mathbf{r})/r$. Inserting (27) into (26) one finds

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\omega}{c}u + \imath(\sigma\bigtriangledown)v - \ell_0^{-1}u + \frac{\lambda}{4\pi}\left(f^2 - g^2\right)u = 0, \\ &\frac{\omega}{c}v + \imath(\sigma\bigtriangledown)u - \ell_0^{-1}v + \frac{\lambda}{4\pi}\left(f^2 - g^2\right)v = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (27) one gets

$$\imath(\sigma\bigtriangledown)v = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}}\left(g' + \frac{2}{r}g\right)\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$\imath(\sigma\bigtriangledown)u = -\frac{\imath}{\sqrt{4\pi}} f'\sigma_r \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Finally, one derives the following ordinary differential equations for the radial functions $f(r)$ and $g(r)$:

$$\left(g' + \frac{2}{r}g\right) = \left(\frac{\omega}{c} - \ell_0^{-1}\right) f + \frac{\lambda}{4\pi}\left(f^2 - g^2\right) f,$$
$$-f' = \left(\frac{\omega}{c} + \ell_0^{-1}\right) g + \frac{\lambda}{4\pi}\left(f^2 - g^2\right) g.$$

As was shown in the papers [12, 13], these equations admit regular solutions if the frequency parameter ω belongs to the interval

$$0 < \omega < c/\ell_0. \tag{28}$$

The behavior of the functions $f(r)$ and $g(r)$ at $r \to 0$ is as follows:

$$g(r) = C_1 r, \quad f = C_2, \quad f' \to 0,$$

where C_1, C_2 denote some integration constants. The behavior of solutions far from the center of the soliton, i.e. at $r \to \infty$, is given by the relations:

$$f = \frac{A}{r}e^{-\nu r}, \quad g = -\frac{f'}{B},$$

where

$$\nu = \left(\ell_0^{-2} - \omega^2/c^2\right)^{1/2}, \quad B = \ell_0^{-1} + \omega/c.$$

If one chooses the free parameters ℓ_0 and λ of the model to satisfy the normalization condition (similar to (6))

$$\int d^3x\, \varphi^+\varphi = \int\limits_0^\infty dr\, r^2\left(f^2 + g^2\right) = \hbar \tag{29}$$

then the spin of the soliton reads

$$\mathbf{S} = \int d^3x\, \varphi^+ \mathbf{J}\varphi = \frac{\hbar}{2}\mathbf{e}_z, \tag{30}$$

where $\mathbf{e}_z$ denotes the unit vector along the Z–direction, $\mathbf{J}$ stands for the angular momentum operator

$$\mathbf{J} = -\imath[\mathbf{r}\bigtriangledown] + \frac{1}{2}\sigma \otimes \sigma_0, \tag{31}$$

and σ_0 is the unit 2×2–matrix. Now let us construct the two–particles singlet configuration on the base of the soliton solution (25). First of all, in analogy with (22) one constructs the entangled solitons configuration endowed with the zero spin:

$$\varphi_{12} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left[\varphi_1^{\uparrow} \otimes \varphi_2^{\downarrow} - \varphi_1^{\downarrow} \otimes \varphi_2^{\uparrow}\right], \tag{32}$$

where $\varphi_1^{\uparrow}$ corresponds to (27) with $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_1$, and $\varphi_2^{\downarrow}$ emerges from the above solution by the substitution

$$\mathbf{r}_1 \to \mathbf{r}_2, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \to \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

that corresponds to the opposite projection of spin on the Z–axis. In virtue of the orthogonality relation for the states with the opposite spin projections one easily derives the following normalization condition for the entangled solitons configuration (32):

$$\int d^3x_1 \int d^3x_2\, \varphi_{12}^{+}\varphi_{12} = \hbar^2. \tag{33}$$

Now it is not difficult to find the expression for the stochastic wave function (7) for the singlet two–solitons state:

$$\Psi_N\left(t, \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2\right) = \left(\hbar^2 N\right)^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi_{12}^{(j)}, \tag{34}$$

where $\varphi_{12}^{(j)}$ corresponds to the entangled soliton configuration in the j–th trial. Our next step is the calculation of the spin correlation (23) for the singlet two–soliton state. In the light of the fact that the operator σ in (23) corresponds to the twice angular momentum operator (31) one should calculate the following expression:

$$P'(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \mathbb{M} \int d^3x_1 \int d^3x_2\, \Psi_N^{+} 2\left(\mathbf{J}_1\mathbf{a}\right) \otimes 2\left(\mathbf{J}_2\mathbf{b}\right)\Psi_N, \tag{35}$$

where $\mathbb{M}$ stands for the averaging over the random phases of the solitons. Inserting (34) and (31) into (35), using the independence of trials $j \neq j'$, and taking into account the relations:

$$\begin{array}{lll} J_{+}\varphi^{\uparrow} = 0, & J_3\varphi^{\uparrow} = \dfrac{1}{2}\varphi^{\uparrow}, & J_{-}\varphi^{\uparrow} = \varphi^{\downarrow}, \\ J_{-}\varphi^{\downarrow} = 0, & J_3\varphi^{\downarrow} = -\dfrac{1}{2}\varphi^{\downarrow}, & J_{+}\varphi^{\downarrow} = \varphi^{\uparrow}, \end{array}$$

where $J_{\pm} = J_1 \pm \imath J_2$, one easily finds that

$$P'(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = -\hbar^{-2}\left(\mathbf{ab}\right)\left(\int\limits_0^\infty dr\, r^2\left(f^2 + g^2\right)\right)^2 = -\left(\mathbf{ab}\right). \tag{36}$$

Comparing the correlations (36) and (24) one remarks their coincidence, that is the solitonian model satisfies the EPR–correlation criterium.

4 Conclusion. Simulation of qubits by probabilistic bits

Now we intend to explain how stochastic qubits introduced previously could be simulateded by standard probabilistic bits [14]. To this end one should define the random phase Φ_j for the j–th trial in our system of n solitons—particles. Let $\varphi^{(k)}(\mathbf{r})$ denote the standard (etalon) profile for the k–th soliton. The most probable position $\mathbf{d}_j^{(k)}(t)$ of the k–th soliton's center for j–th trial can be found from the following variational problem:

$$\left|\int d^3x\, \varphi_j^{*(k)}(t, \mathbf{r})\varphi^{(k)}\left(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{d}_j^{(k)}\right)\right| \quad \rightarrow \quad \max,$$

thus giving the random phase structure:

$$\Phi_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \arg \int d^3x \, \varphi_j^{*(k)}(t, \mathbf{r}) \varphi^{(k)} \left(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{d}_j^{(k)} \right). \tag{37}$$

The random phase (37) can be used for simulating quantum computing via generating the following K random dichotomic functions:

$$f_s(\theta_s) = \mathrm{sign}\left[\cos(\Phi_j + \theta_s)\right], \qquad s = \overline{1, K}, \tag{38}$$

with θ_s being arbitrary fixed phases. Now recall that the qubit is identified with the state vector

$$|\psi\rangle = \alpha|0\rangle + \beta|1\rangle,$$

corresponding to the superposition of two orthogonal states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$, as for instance, two polarizations of the photon, or two possible spin–1/2 states. It is worth-while to compare the standard EPR–correlation (24) with the random phases one for the case of $n = 2$ particles:

$$\mathbb{E}\,(f_1\, f_2) = 1 - \frac{2}{\pi}|\triangle\theta|, \tag{39}$$

where $\triangle\theta = \theta_1 - \theta_2$. The similarity of these two functions (39) and (24) of the angular variable seems to be a good motivation for the K qubits simulation by the dichotomic random functions (38) popularized in the paper [15]. This very simple model of stochastic qubits simulation can be employed for simulating Biphotons, EPR states and other entanglement states. We hope that this model will be useful for the Shor's and Grover's Quantum Algorithms realization.

4.1 Initialization of probabilistic bits

Let us consider N stochastic qubits constructed according to the above algorithm. Let us call qubit No. 1 the control or signal one. If the signal qubit is green then the value assigned will be $|0\rangle$, if it is red the output will be $|1\rangle$. Hence, at each output we shall get N initialized integral qubits.

4.2 Hadamard transform for probabilistic bits

Let us consider the initial bit

$$|q\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle),$$

with equal probabilities $P = 1/2$ being assigned to the states $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$. Consider now the Hadamard transform H sending the states to the basis rotated over $\pi/4$:

$$H\,|0\rangle \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + |1\rangle), \quad H\,|1\rangle \to \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle - |1\rangle).$$

Hence, having applied the Hadamard transform to the bit $|q\rangle$ one gets the final bit

$$H\,|q\rangle = |0\rangle\,.$$

4.3 Logical $CNOT$ for probabilistic bits

The result of application of the logical component $CNOT$ depends on the target bit, two possible cases being considered:
1. If the control bit has the value $|1\rangle$ then the target bit is sent to the opposite value.
2. If the control bit has the value $|0\rangle$ then the value of the target bit is not changed.
All these elementary operations can be realized via classical computer through simulating the phase structure of realistic solitons by the generator of random numbers connected to the model solitons' generator, e. g. Kerr dielectric with the optical excitations or magnetic with the excitations of localized spin inversion domains.

[1] *D. C. Brody and L. P. Hughston.* Geometric Quantum Mechanics // *J. of Geometry and Physics.* 2001, vol. **38**. Pp. 19–53.

[2] *Yu. P. Rybakov.* On the Causal Interpretation of Quantum Mechanics // *Found. of Physics.* 1974, vol. **4**, n. 2. Pp. 149–161.

[3] *Yu. P. Rybakov.* La Theorie Statistique des Champs et la Mecanique Quantique //*Ann. Fond. L. de Broglie.* 1977, t. **2**, n. 3. Pp. 181–203.

[4] *Yu. P. Rybakov.* Self-Gravitating Solitons and Nonlinear–Resonance Quantization Mechanism //*Bulletin of Peoples' Friendship University of Russia.* Ser. Physics. 1995, vol. **3**, n. 1. Pp. 130–137 (in Russian).

[5] *L. de Broglie.* Les Incertitudes d'Heisenberg et l'Interpretation Probabiliste de la Mecanique Ondulatoire. Paris: Gauthier–Villars, 1982.

[6] *A. Einstein.* Collected Papers. Moscow: Nauka, 1967.

[7] *Yu. P. Rybakov.* The Bohm—Vigier Subquantum Fluctuations and Nonlinear Field Theory //*Int. J. Theor. Physics.* 1972, vol. **5**, n. 2. Pp. 131–138.

[8] *W. Feller.* An Introduction to Probability Theory and its Applications. Vol. **1, 2**. New York: *John Wiley & Sons, Inc.*, 1952.

[9] *Yu. P. Rybakov and M. Shachir.* On Fresnel Diffraction of Solitons in the Synge Model //*Izvestia VUZov.* Ser. Physics. 1982, vol. **25**, n. 1. Pp. 36–38 (in Russian).

[10] *N. Wiener.* Nonlinear Problems in Random Theory. New York: *John Wiley & Sons, Inc.*, 1958.

[11] *J. S. Bell.* On Einstein—Podolsky—Rosen Paradox // *Physics.* 1964, vol. **1**, n. 3. Pp. 195–199.

[12] *R. Finkelstein, R. Lelevier, and M. Ruderman.* Nonlinear Spinor Fields // *Phys. Rev.*. 1951, vol. **83**, n. 2. Pp. 326–332.

[13] *R. Finkelstein, C. Fronsdal, and P. Kaus.* Nonlinear Spinor Field // *Phys. Rev.*. 1956, vol. **103**, n. 5. Pp. 1571–1579.

[14] *Yu. P. Rybakov and T. F. Kamalov.* Stochastic Gravitational Fields and Quantum Correlations //*Bulletin of Peoples' Friendship University of Russia.* Ser. Physics. 2002, vol. **10**, n. 1. Pp. 5–7 (in Russian).

[15] *N. V. Evdokimov, D. N. Klyshko, V. P. Komolov, and V. A. Yarochkin.* Bell's Inequalities and EPR—Bohm Correlations: A Working Classical Radio Model //Progress in Physics (Russia). 1996, vol. **166**, n. 1. Pp. 91–107.